

# On the heritability of the Hall property $\mathcal{D}_\pi$ by overgroups of $\pi$ -Hall subgroups \*

N.Ch. Manzaeva

## Abstract

Let  $\pi$  be a set of primes. We say that a finite group  $G$  is a  $\mathcal{D}_\pi$ -group if all its maximal  $\pi$ -subgroups are conjugate. In this paper, we give an affirmative answer to the problem 17.44(b) from “The Kourovka notebook”: we prove that in a  $\mathcal{D}_\pi$ -group an overgroup of a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup is always a  $\mathcal{D}_\pi$ -group.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $G$  be a finite group,  $\pi$  a set of primes. We denote by  $\pi'$  the set of all primes not in  $\pi$ , by  $\pi(n)$  the set of all prime divisors of a positive integer  $n$ , given a group  $G$  we denote  $\pi(|G|)$  by  $\pi(G)$ . A group  $G$  with  $\pi(G) \subseteq \pi$  is called a  $\pi$ -group. A subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  is called a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup, if  $\pi(H) \subseteq \pi$  and  $\pi(|G : H|) \subseteq \pi'$ .

Following [7], we say that  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{E}_\pi$  (or briefly  $G \in \mathcal{E}_\pi$ ), if  $G$  has a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup. If  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{E}_\pi$  and every two  $\pi$ -Hall subgroups of  $G$  are conjugate, then we say that  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{C}_\pi$  ( $G \in \mathcal{C}_\pi$ ). Finally,  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{D}_\pi$  ( $G \in \mathcal{D}_\pi$ ), if  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{C}_\pi$  and every  $\pi$ -subgroup of  $G$  is included in a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of  $G$ . Thus  $G \in \mathcal{D}_\pi$  if a complete analogue of the Sylow theorem for  $\pi$ -subgroups of  $G$  holds. A group satisfying  $\mathcal{E}_\pi$  ( $\mathcal{C}_\pi$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_\pi$ ) is also called an  $\mathcal{E}_\pi$ -group (respectively  $\mathcal{C}_\pi$ -group,  $\mathcal{D}_\pi$ -group).

In the paper, we give an affirmative answer to the following problem from “The Kourovka notebook” [16]:

---

\*The work is supported by Russian Science Foundation (project 14-21-00065).

**Problem 1.** [16, Problem 17.44(b)] In a  $\mathcal{D}_\pi$ -group, is an overgroup of a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup always a  $\mathcal{D}_\pi$ -group?

An affirmative answer to the analogous problem for  $\mathcal{C}_\pi$ -property (see [16, Problem 17.44(a)]) is obtained by E.P. Vdovin and D.O. Revin in [24, 25].

According to [23], we say that  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{U}_\pi$ , if  $G \in \mathcal{D}_\pi$  and every overgroup of a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{D}_\pi$ . Thus Problem 1 can be reformulated in the following way:

**Problem 1.** Is it true that  $\mathcal{D}_\pi = \mathcal{U}_\pi$ ?

The following main theorem gives an affirmative answer to Problem 1.

**Theorem 1.** (Main theorem) *Let  $\pi$  be a set of primes. Then  $\mathcal{D}_\pi = \mathcal{U}_\pi$ . In other words, if  $G \in \mathcal{D}_\pi$  and  $H$  is a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of  $G$ , then every subgroup  $M$  of  $G$  with  $H \leq M$  satisfies  $\mathcal{D}_\pi$ .*

In [19, Theorem 7.7], E.P. Vdovin and D.O. Revin proved that  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{D}_\pi$  if and only if each composition factor of  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{D}_\pi$ . Using this result, an analogous criterion for  $\mathcal{U}_\pi$  is obtained in [21].

**Theorem 2.** [21, Theorem 2] *A finite group  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{U}_\pi$  if and only if each composition factor of  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{U}_\pi$ .*

Thus Problem 1 is reduced to a similar problem for simple  $\mathcal{D}_\pi$ -groups. All simple  $\mathcal{D}_\pi$ -groups are known: pure arithmetic necessary and sufficient conditions for a simple group  $G$  to satisfy  $\mathcal{D}_\pi$  can be found in [17]. It was proved in [21] that if  $G \in \mathcal{D}_\pi$  is an alternating group, a sporadic group or a group of Lie type in characteristic  $p \in \pi$ , then  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{U}_\pi$ . An affirmative answer to Problem 1 in case  $2 \in \pi$  is obtained in [15]. Therefore, in this paper, we consider only  $\mathcal{D}_\pi$ -groups of Lie type in characteristic  $p$  with  $2, p \notin \pi$ .

## 2 Notation and preliminary results

All groups in the paper are assumed to be finite. Our notation is standard and agrees with that of [3] and [9]. By  $A : B$  and  $A.B$  we denote a split extension and an arbitrary extension of a group  $A$  by a group  $B$ , respectively. Symbols  $A \times B$  and  $A \circ B$  denote direct and central products, respectively. If  $G$  is a group and  $S$  is a permutation group, then  $G \wr S$  is the permutation

wreath product of  $G$  and  $S$ . For  $M \subseteq G$  we set  $M^G = \{M^g \mid g \in G\}$ . The subgroup generated by a subset  $M$  is denoted by  $\langle M \rangle$ . We use notations  $H \leq G$  and  $H \trianglelefteq G$  instead of “ $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ ” and “ $H$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ ”, respectively. The normalizer and the centralizer of  $H$  in  $G$  are denoted by  $N_G(H)$  and  $C_G(H)$ , respectively, while  $Z(G)$  is the center of  $G$ . The generalized Fitting subgroup of  $G$  is denoted by  $F^*(G)$ . Denote by  $\llbracket n \rrbracket$  an arbitrary solvable group of order  $n$ .

Throughout,  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is a finite field of order  $q$  and characteristic  $p$ . By  $\eta$  we always denote an element from the set  $\{+, -\}$  and we use  $\eta$  instead of  $\eta 1$  as well. In order to unify notation and arguments we often denote  $A_n(q)$  by  $A_n^+(q)$ ,  ${}^2A_n(q)$  by  $A_n^-(q)$ ,  $E_6(q)$  by  $E_6^+(q)$ ,  ${}^2E_6(q)$  by  $E_6^-(q)$ ,  $\text{PSL}_n(q)$  by  $\text{PSL}_n^+(q)$  and  $\text{PSU}_n(q)$  by  $\text{PSL}_n^-(q)$ . If  $G$  is a group of Lie type, then by  $W(G)$  we denote the Weyl group of  $G$ .

The integral part of a real number  $x$  is denoted by  $[x]$ . For integers  $n$  and  $m$ , we denote by  $\gcd(n, m)$  and  $\text{lcm}(n, m)$  the greatest common divisor and the least common multiple, respectively. If  $n$  is a positive integer, then  $n_\pi$  is the largest divisor  $d$  of  $n$  with  $\pi(d) \subseteq \pi$ . If  $r$  is an odd prime and  $q$  is an integer not divisible by  $r$ , then  $e(q, r)$  is the smallest positive integer  $e$  with  $q^e \equiv 1 \pmod{r}$ .

We say that  $G$  *satisfies*  $(*)$  if every  $\pi$ -subgroup of  $G$  has a normal abelian  $\tau$ -Hall subgroup, where  $\tau = (\pi \cap \pi(G)) \setminus \{r\}$  and  $r = \min(\pi \cap \pi(G))$ .

The next result may be found in [26].

**Lemma 1.** ([26], [6, Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5]) *Let  $r$  be an odd prime,  $k$  an integer not divisible by  $r$ , and  $m$  a positive integer. Denote  $e(k, r)$  by  $e$  and*

$$e^* = \begin{cases} 2e & \text{if } e \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \\ e & \text{if } e \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ e/2 & \text{if } e \equiv 2 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

*Then the following identities hold:*

$$(k^m - 1)_r = \begin{cases} (k^e - 1)_r(m/e)_r & \text{if } e \text{ divides } m, \\ 1 & \text{if } e \text{ does not divide } m; \end{cases}$$

$$(k^m - (-1)^m)_r = \begin{cases} (k^{e^*} - (-1)^{e^*})_r(m/e^*)_r & \text{if } e^* \text{ divides } m, \\ 1 & \text{if } e^* \text{ does not divide } m. \end{cases}$$

In Lemma 2 we collect some known facts about  $\pi$ -Hall subgroups in finite groups.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group,  $A$  a normal subgroup of  $G$ .*

- (a) *If  $H$  is a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of  $G$ , then  $H \cap A$  is a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of  $A$  and  $HA/A$  is a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of  $G/A$ . In particular, a normal subgroup and a homomorphic image of an  $\mathcal{E}_\pi$ -group satisfy  $\mathcal{E}_\pi$ . (see [7, Lemma 1])*
- (b) *If  $M/A$  is a  $\pi$ -subgroup of  $G/A$ , then there exists a  $\pi$ -subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  with  $M = HA$ . (see [4, Lemma 2.1])*
- (c) *If  $M$  is a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of  $A$  and  $G/A$  is a  $\pi$ -group, then a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  with  $H \cap A = M$  exists if and only if the class  $M^A$  is  $G$ -invariant, i.e.,  $M^A = M^G$ . (see [23, Proposition 4.8])*
- (d) *If  $2 \notin \pi$  then  $\mathcal{E}_\pi = \mathcal{C}_\pi$ . In particular, a group  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{E}_\pi$  if and only if each composition factor of  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{E}_\pi$ . (see [5, Theorem A], [6, Theorem 2.3], [23, Theorem 5.4])*
- (e) *If  $G$  possesses a nilpotent  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup then  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{D}_\pi$ . (see [27], [23, Theorem 6.2])*
- (f) *A group  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{D}_\pi$  if and only if  $A$  and  $G/A$  satisfy  $\mathcal{D}_\pi$ . Equivalently,  $G \in \mathcal{D}_\pi$  if and only if each composition factor of  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{D}_\pi$ . (see [19, Theorem 7.7], [23, Corollary 6.7])*

**Lemma 3.** ([17, Theorem 3], [23, Theorem 6.9]) *Let  $S$  be a simple group of Lie type with the base field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of characteristic  $p$ . Suppose  $2 \notin \pi$  and  $|\pi \cap \pi(S)| \geq 2$ . Then  $S$  satisfies  $\mathcal{D}_\pi$  if and only if the pair  $(S, \pi)$  satisfies one of the Condition I-IV below.*

**Condition I.** Let  $p \in \pi$  and  $\tau = (\pi \cap \pi(S)) \setminus \{p\}$ . We say that  $(S, \pi)$  satisfies Condition I if  $\tau \subseteq \pi(q-1)$  and every number from  $\pi$  does not divide  $|W(S)|$ .

**Condition II.** Suppose that  $S$  is not isomorphic to  ${}^2B_2(q), {}^2F_4(q), {}^2G_2(q)$  and  $p \notin \pi$ . Denote by  $r$  the number  $\min(\pi \cap \pi(S))$ . Set  $\tau = (\pi \cap \pi(S)) \setminus \{r\}$  and  $a = e(q, r)$ . We say that  $(S, \pi)$  satisfies Condition II if there exists  $t \in \tau$  with  $b = e(q, t) \neq a$  and one of the following holds.

- (a)  $S \simeq A_{n-1}(q)$ ,  $a = r - 1$ ,  $b = r$ ,  $(q^{r-1} - 1)_r = r$ ,  $\left[ \frac{n}{r-1} \right] = \left[ \frac{n}{r} \right]$  and for every  $s \in \tau$  hold  $e(q, s) = b$  and  $n < bs$ .

- (b)  $S \simeq A_{n-1}(q)$ ,  $a = r - 1$ ,  $b = r$ ,  $(q^{r-1} - 1)_r = r$ ,  $\left\lfloor \frac{n}{r-1} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{r} \right\rfloor + 1$ ,  $n \equiv -1 \pmod{r}$  and for every  $s \in \tau$  hold  $e(q, s) = b$  and  $n < bs$ .
- (c)  $S \simeq {}^2A_{n-1}(q)$ ,  $r \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ,  $a = r - 1$ ,  $b = 2r$ ,  $(q^{r-1} - 1)_r = r$ ,  $\left\lfloor \frac{n}{r-1} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{r} \right\rfloor$  and  $e(q, s) = b$  for every  $s \in \tau$ .
- (d)  $S \simeq {}^2A_{n-1}(q)$ ,  $r \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ ,  $a = \frac{r-1}{2}$ ,  $b = 2r$ ,  $(q^{r-1} - 1)_r = r$ ,  $\left\lfloor \frac{n}{r-1} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{r} \right\rfloor$  and  $e(q, s) = b$  for every  $s \in \tau$ .
- (e)  $S \simeq {}^2A_{n-1}(q)$ ,  $r \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ,  $a = r - 1$ ,  $b = 2r$ ,  $(q^{r-1} - 1)_r = r$ ,  $\left\lfloor \frac{n}{r-1} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{r} \right\rfloor + 1$ ,  $n \equiv -1 \pmod{r}$  and  $e(q, s) = b$  for every  $s \in \tau$ .
- (f)  $S \simeq {}^2A_{n-1}(q)$ ,  $r \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ ,  $a = \frac{r-1}{2}$ ,  $b = 2r$ ,  $(q^{r-1} - 1)_r = r$ ,  $\left\lfloor \frac{n}{r-1} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{r} \right\rfloor + 1$ ,  $n \equiv -1 \pmod{r}$  and  $e(q, s) = b$  for every  $s \in \tau$ .
- (g)  $S \simeq {}^2D_n(q)$ ,  $a \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ ,  $n = b = 2a$  and for every  $s \in \tau$  either  $e(q, s) = a$  or  $e(q, s) = b$ .
- (h)  $S \simeq {}^2D_n(q)$ ,  $b \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ ,  $n = a = 2b$  and for every  $s \in \tau$  either  $e(q, s) = a$  or  $e(q, s) = b$ .

In cases (g)-(h), a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of  $S \simeq {}^2D_n(q)$  is cyclic.

**Condition III.** Suppose that  $S$  is not isomorphic to  ${}^2B_2(q)$ ,  ${}^2F_4(q)$ ,  ${}^2G_2(q)$  and  $p \notin \pi$ . Denote by  $r$  the number  $\min(\pi \cap \pi(S))$ . Set  $\tau = (\pi \cap \pi(S)) \setminus \{r\}$  and  $c = e(q, r)$ . We say that  $(S, \pi)$  satisfies Condition III if  $e(q, t) = c$  for every  $t \in \tau$  and one of the following holds.

- (a)  $S \simeq A_{n-1}(q)$  and  $n < cs$  for every  $s \in \tau$ .
- (b)  $S \simeq {}^2A_{n-1}(q)$ ,  $c \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  and  $n < cs$  for every  $s \in \tau$ .
- (c)  $S \simeq {}^2A_{n-1}(q)$ ,  $c \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  and  $2n < cs$  for every  $s \in \tau$ .
- (d)  $S \simeq {}^2A_{n-1}(q)$ ,  $c \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  and  $n < 2cs$  for every  $s \in \tau$ .

- (e)  $S$  is isomorphic to one of the groups  $B_n(q)$ ,  $C_n(q)$  or  ${}^2D_n(q)$ ,  $c$  is even and  $2n < cs$  for every  $s \in \tau$ .
- (f)  $S$  is isomorphic to one of the groups  $B_n(q)$ ,  $C_n(q)$  or  $D_n(q)$ ,  $c$  is odd and  $n < cs$  for every  $s \in \tau$ .
- (g)  $S \simeq D_n(q)$ ,  $c$  is even and  $2n \leq cs$  for every  $s \in \tau$ .
- (h)  $S \simeq {}^2D_n(q)$ ,  $c$  is odd and  $n \leq cs$  for every  $s \in \tau$ .
- (i)  $S \simeq {}^3D_4(q)$ .
- (j)  $S \simeq E_6(q)$  and if  $r = 3$  and  $c = 1$  then  $5, 13 \notin \tau$ .
- (k)  $S \simeq {}^2E_6(q)$  and if  $r = 3$  and  $c = 2$  then  $5, 13 \notin \tau$ .
- (l)  $S \simeq E_7(q)$  and if  $r = 3$  and  $c \in \{1, 2\}$  then  $5, 7, 13 \notin \tau$ , and if  $r = 5$  and  $c \in \{1, 2\}$  then  $7 \notin \tau$ .
- (m)  $S \simeq E_8(q)$  and if  $r = 3$  and  $c \in \{1, 2\}$  then  $5, 7, 13 \notin \tau$ , and if  $r = 5$  and  $c \in \{1, 2\}$  then  $7, 31 \notin \tau$ .
- (n)  $S \simeq G_2(q)$ .
- (o)  $S \simeq F_4(q)$  and if  $r = 3$  and  $c = 1$  then  $13 \notin \tau$ .

**Condition IV.** We say that  $(S, \pi)$  satisfies Condition IV if one of the following holds.

- (a)  $S \simeq {}^2B_2(2^{2m+1})$ ,  $\pi \cap \pi(G)$  is contained in one of the sets  $\pi(2^{2m+1} - 1)$ ,  $\pi(2^{2m+1} \pm 2^{m+1} + 1)$ .
- (b)  $S \simeq {}^2G_2(3^{2m+1})$ ,  $\pi \cap \pi(G)$  is contained in one of the sets  $\pi(3^{2m+1} - 1) \setminus \{2\}$ ,  $\pi(3^{2m+1} \pm 3^{m+1} + 1) \setminus \{2\}$ .
- (c)  $S \simeq {}^2F_4(2^{2m+1})$ ,  $\pi \cap \pi(G)$  is contained in one of the sets  $\pi(2^{2(2m+1)} \pm 1)$ ,  $\pi(2^{2m+1} \pm 2^{m+1} + 1)$ ,  $\pi(2^{2(2m+1)} \pm 2^{3m+2} \mp 2^{m+1} - 1)$ ,  $\pi(2^{2(2m+1)} \pm 2^{3m+2} + 2^{2m+1} \pm 2^{m+1} - 1)$ .

In the next lemmas, we recall some preliminary results about  $\mathcal{U}_\pi$ -property.

**Lemma 4.** [15, Lemma 3] *The following statements are equivalent.*

- (a)  $\mathcal{D}_\pi = \mathcal{U}_\pi$ .
- (b) In every simple  $\mathcal{D}_\pi$ -group  $G$  all maximal subgroups containing a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of  $G$  satisfy  $\mathcal{D}_\pi$ .

**Lemma 5.** [21, Theorem 4] *If  $G \in \mathcal{D}_\pi$  is either an alternating group or a sporadic simple group or a simple group of Lie type in characteristic  $p \in \pi$ , then  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{U}_\pi$ .*

**Lemma 6.** [15, Theorem 1] *If  $2 \in \pi$  then  $\mathcal{D}_\pi = \mathcal{U}_\pi$ .*

In view of Lemma 6, we consider the case where  $\pi$  is a set of odd primes. The next results are concerned with the properties  $\mathcal{E}_\pi$  and  $\mathcal{D}_\pi$ , where  $2 \notin \pi$ .

**Lemma 7.** [22, Theorem 1] *Let  $G$  be a group of Lie type in characteristic  $p$ . Suppose that  $2, p \notin \pi$  and  $H$  is a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of  $G$ . Set  $r = \min(\pi \cap \pi(G))$  and  $\tau = \pi \setminus \{r\}$ . Then  $H$  has a normal abelian  $\tau$ -Hall subgroup.*

Recall that  $G$  satisfies  $(*)$  if every  $\pi$ -subgroup of  $G$  has a normal abelian  $\tau$ -Hall subgroup, where  $\tau = (\pi \cap \pi(G)) \setminus \{r\}$  and  $r = \min(\pi \cap \pi(G))$ . Suppose that  $G \in \mathcal{E}_\pi$  is a simple group of Lie type in characteristic  $p$ . Lemma 7 implies that if  $2, p \notin \pi$  and  $G \in \mathcal{D}_\pi$  then  $G$  satisfies  $(*)$ . If  $e(q, s) = e(q, r)$  for every  $s \in \tau$ , then the converse is also true.

**Lemma 8.** [22, Theorem 5] *Let  $G$  be a simple group of Lie type with the base field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of characteristic  $p$ , not isomorphic to  ${}^2B_2(q)$ ,  ${}^2F_4(q)$ ,  ${}^2G_2(q)$ . Suppose that  $2, p \notin \pi$  and  $G \in \mathcal{E}_\pi$ . Set  $r = \min(\pi \cap \pi(G))$  and  $\tau = (\pi \cap \pi(G)) \setminus \{r\}$ . Assume that  $e(q, s) = e(q, r)$  for every  $s \in \tau$ . Then  $G \in \mathcal{D}_\pi$  if and only if  $G$  satisfies  $(*)$ .*

**Lemma 9.** ([4, Theorem 1.1], [4, Theorem 6.14], [18, Lemmas 5-7]) *Assume that  $2 \notin \pi$ ,  $G$  is a simple group and  $G \in \mathcal{E}_\pi \setminus \mathcal{D}_\pi$ . Set  $r = \min(\pi \cap \pi(G))$  and  $\tau = (\pi \cap \pi(G)) \setminus \{r\}$ . Then one of the following holds.*

- (1)  $G = O'N$  and  $\pi \cap \pi(G) = \{3, 5\}$ .
- (2)  $G$  is a group of Lie type with the base field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of characteristic  $p > 0$  and either (A) or (B) is true:
  - (A)  $p \in \pi$ ,  $p$  divides  $|W(G)|$ , every  $t \in (\pi \cap \pi(G)) \setminus \{p\}$  divides  $q - 1$  and does not divide  $|W(G)|$ .

(B)  $p \notin \pi$  and one of (a)-(i) below holds:

- (a)  $G = \mathrm{PSL}_n(q)$ ,  $e(q, r) = r - 1$ ,  $(q^{r-1} - 1)_r = r$ ,  $\left[\frac{n}{r-1}\right] = \left[\frac{n}{r}\right]$  and for every  $s \in \tau$  we have  $e(q, s) = 1$  and  $n < s$ .
- (b)  $G = \mathrm{PSU}_n(q)$ ,  $r \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ,  $e(q, r) = r - 1$ ,  $(q^{r-1} - 1)_r = r$ ,  $\left[\frac{n}{r-1}\right] = \left[\frac{n}{r}\right]$  and for every  $s \in \tau$  we have  $e(q, s) = 2$  and  $n < s$ .
- (c)  $G = \mathrm{PSU}_n(q)$ ,  $r \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ ,  $e(q, r) = \frac{r-1}{2}$ ,  $(q^{r-1} - 1)_r = r$ ,  $\left[\frac{n}{r-1}\right] = \left[\frac{n}{r}\right]$  and for every  $s \in \tau$  we have  $e(q, s) = 2$  and  $n < s$ .
- (d)  $G = E_6(q)$ ,  $\pi \cap \pi(G) \subseteq \pi(q-1)$ ,  $3, 13 \in \pi \cap \pi(G)$ ,  $5 \notin \pi \cap \pi(G)$ .
- (e)  $G = {}^2E_6(q)$ ,  $\pi \cap \pi(G) \subseteq \pi(q+1)$ ,  $3, 13 \in \pi \cap \pi(G)$ ,  $5 \notin \pi \cap \pi(G)$ .
- (f)  $G = E_7(q)$ ,  $\pi \cap \pi(G)$  is contained in one of the sets  $\pi(q-1)$  or  $\pi(q+1)$ ,  $3, 13 \in \pi \cap \pi(G)$ ,  $5, 7 \notin \pi \cap \pi(G)$ .
- (g)  $G = E_8(q)$ ,  $\pi \cap \pi(G)$  is contained in one of the sets  $\pi(q-1)$  or  $\pi(q+1)$ ,  $3, 13 \in \pi \cap \pi(G)$ ,  $5, 7 \notin \pi \cap \pi(G)$ .
- (h)  $G = E_8(q)$ ,  $\pi \cap \pi(G)$  is contained in one of the sets  $\pi(q-1)$  or  $\pi(q+1)$ ,  $5, 31 \in \pi \cap \pi(G)$ ,  $3, 7 \notin \pi \cap \pi(G)$ .
- (i)  $G = F_4(q)$ ,  $\pi \cap \pi(G)$  is contained in one of the sets  $\pi(q-1)$  or  $\pi(q+1)$ ,  $3, 13 \in \pi \cap \pi(G)$ .

In view of Lemmas 4 and 5, we need information about maximal subgroups of groups of Lie type. For information about maximal subgroups in classical groups we refer to [9]. Maximal subgroups of exceptional groups of Lie type are specified in Lemmas 10, 11 and 12.

Let  $\overline{G}$  be an adjoint simple algebraic group of exceptional type  $G_2$ ,  $F_4$ ,  $E_6$ ,  $E_7$  or  $E_8$  over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ , the algebraic closure of the prime field  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , where  $p$  is a prime. Let  $\sigma$  be an endomorphism of  $\overline{G}$  whose fixed point group  $\overline{G}_\sigma = \{g \in \overline{G} \mid g^\sigma = g\}$  is finite. Then  $\sigma$  is said to be a Frobenius morphism of  $\overline{G}$ , and  $G = (\overline{G}_\sigma)'$  is a finite simple exceptional group (exclude the cases  $G_2(2)' \simeq \mathrm{PSU}_3(3)$  and  ${}^2G_2(3)' \simeq \mathrm{PSL}_2(8)$ ). For a simple group of Lie type  $N(q)$ , let  $rk(N(q))$  denote the untwisted Lie rank of  $N(q)$  (i.e. the rank of the corresponding algebraic group).

**Lemma 10.** [13, Theorem 8] *Let  $N$  be a maximal subgroup of a finite exceptional group  $\overline{G}_\sigma$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ ,  $q = p^n$ . Then one of the following holds.*

- (1)  $N = K_\sigma$  where  $K$  is maximal closed  $\sigma$ -stable of positive dimension in  $\overline{G}$ ; the possibilities are as follows:
  - (a)  $K$  (and  $N$ ) is a parabolic subgroup.

- (b)  $K$  is reductive of maximal rank: the possibilities for  $N$  are determined in [10].
- (c)  $\overline{G} = E_7$ ,  $p > 2$  and  $N = (2^2 \times \mathrm{P}\Omega_8^+(q) \cdot 2^2) \cdot S_3$  or  ${}^3D_4(q) \cdot 3$ .
- (d)  $\overline{G} = E_8$ ,  $p > 5$  and  $N = \mathrm{PGL}_2(q) \times S_5$ .
- (e)  $F^*(N)$  is as in Table 1.

Table 1

| $\overline{G}_\sigma$ | possibilities for $F^*(N)$                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| $G_2(q)$              | $A_1(q)$ ( $p \geq 7$ )                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| $F_4(q)$              | $A_1(q)$ ( $p \geq 13$ ), $G_2(q)$ ( $p = 7$ ), $A_1(q) \times G_2(q)$ ( $p \geq 3, q \geq 5$ )                                                                                                                                                        |
| $E_6^\eta(q)$         | $A_2^\eta(q)$ ( $p \geq 5$ ), $G_2(q)$ ( $p \neq 7$ ), $C_4(q)$ ( $p \geq 3$ ), $F_4(q)$ ,<br>$A_2^\eta(q) \times G_2(q)$ ( $(q, \eta) \neq (2, -)$ )                                                                                                  |
| $E_7(q)$              | $A_1(q)$ ( $p \geq 17, 19$ ), $A_2^\eta(q)$ ( $p \geq 5$ ), $A_1(q) \times A_1(q)$ ( $p \geq 5$ ),<br>$A_1(q) \times G_2(q)$ ( $p \geq 3, q \geq 5$ ), $A_1(q) \times F_4(q)$ ( $q \geq 4$ ), $G_2(q) \times C_3(q)$                                   |
| $E_8(q)$              | $A_1(q)$ ( $p \geq 23, 29, 31$ ), $B_2(q)$ ( $p \geq 5$ ), $A_1(q) \times A_2^\eta(q)$ ( $p \geq 5$ ),<br>$G_2(q) \times F_4(q)$ , $A_1(q) \times G_2(q) \times G_2(q)$ ( $p \geq 3, q \geq 5$ ),<br>$A_1(q) \times G_2(q^2)$ ( $p \geq 3, q \geq 5$ ) |

(2)  $N$  is of the same type as  $\overline{G}$ .

(3)  $N$  is an exotic local subgroup:

$$\begin{aligned}
2^3 \cdot \mathrm{SL}_3(2) &< G_2(p) & (p > 2) \\
3^3 \cdot \mathrm{SL}_3(3) &< F_4(p) & (p \geq 5) \\
3^{3+3} \cdot \mathrm{SL}_3(3) &< E_6^\eta(p) & (p \equiv \eta \pmod{3}, p \geq 5) \\
5^3 \cdot \mathrm{SL}_3(5) &< E_8(p^a) & (p \neq 2, 5; a = 1 \text{ or } 2, \text{ as } p^2 \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}) \\
2^{5+10} \cdot \mathrm{SL}_5(2) &< E_8(p) & (p > 2).
\end{aligned}$$

(4)  $\overline{G} = E_8$ ,  $p > 5$  and  $N = (A_5 \times A_6) \cdot 2^2$ .

(5)  $F^*(N)$  is simple and not a group of Lie type in characteristic  $p$  (the possibilities for  $F^*(N)$  are given up to isomorphism by [12]).

(6)  $F^*(N) = N(q_0)$  is a simple group of Lie type in characteristic  $p$  with  $\mathrm{rk}(N(q_0)) \leq \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{rk}(\overline{G})$  and one of the following holds:

- (a)  $q_0 \leq 9$ ;
- (b)  $N(q_0) = A_2^\eta(16)$ ;
- (c)  $q_0 \leq t(\overline{G})$  and  $N(q_0) = A_1(q_0), {}^2B_2(q_0)$  or  ${}^2G_2(q_0)$  (a constant  $t(\overline{G})$  is defined in [11]).

**Lemma 11.** [8, Main theorem] *Let  $G$  be a group with socle  $L = {}^3D_4(q)$ , where  $q = p^n$  and  $p$  is prime. Assume that  $M$  is a maximal subgroup of  $G$  not containing  $L$ . Then  $M_0 = M \cap L$  is isomorphic to one of the following groups.*

- (a)  $\llbracket q^9 \rrbracket : (\mathrm{SL}_2(q^3) \circ (q-1)) \cdot d$ , where  $d = \gcd(2, q-1)$ .
- (b)  $\llbracket q^{11} \rrbracket : ((q^3-1) \circ \mathrm{SL}_2(q)) \cdot d$ , where  $d = \gcd(2, q-1)$ .
- (c)  $G_2(q)$ .
- (d)  $\mathrm{PGL}_3^\eta(q)$ ,  $2 < q \equiv \eta \pmod{3}$ .
- (e)  ${}^3D_4(q_0)$ ,  $q = q_0^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha$  prime,  $\alpha \neq 3$ .
- (f)  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(q^3) \times \mathrm{PSL}_2(q)$ ,  $p = 2$ .
- (g)  $(\mathrm{SL}_2(q^3) \circ \mathrm{SL}_2(q)) \cdot 2$ ,  $p$  odd.
- (h)  $((q^2 + q + 1) \circ \mathrm{SL}_3(q)) \cdot f_+ \cdot 2$ , where  $f_+ = \gcd(3, q^2 + q + 1)$ .
- (i)  $((q^2 - q + 1) \circ \mathrm{SU}_3(q)) \cdot f_- \cdot 2$ , where  $f_- = \gcd(3, q^2 + q + 1)$ .
- (j)  $(q^2 + q + 1)^2 \cdot \mathrm{SL}_2(3)$ .
- (k)  $(q^2 - q + 1)^2 \cdot \mathrm{SL}_2(3)$ .
- (l)  $(q^4 - q^2 + 1) \cdot 4$ .

We do not need a list of maximal subgroups of  ${}^2B_2(q)$  because if  $2 \notin \pi$  then a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup  $H$  of  ${}^2B_2(q)$  is abelian (see [22, Lemma 14]), and all maximal subgroups of  ${}^2B_2(q)$  containing  $H$  satisfy  $\mathcal{D}_\pi$  by Lemma 2(e). To simplify our proof of Theorem 1 we need a list of maximal subgroups of  ${}^2F_4(q)$ .

**Lemma 12.** [14, Main Theorem] *Every maximal subgroup of  $G = {}^2F_4(q)$ ,  $q = 2^{2n+1}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , is isomorphic to one of the following.*

- (a)  $\llbracket q^{11} \rrbracket : (A_1(q) \times (q-1))$ .
- (b)  $\llbracket q^{10} \rrbracket : ({}^2B_2(q) \times (q-1))$ .
- (c)  $SU_3(q) : 2$ .
- (d)  $((q+1) \times (q+1)) : GL_2(3)$ .
- (e)  $((q - \sqrt{2q} + 1) \times (q - \sqrt{2q} + 1)) : \llbracket 96 \rrbracket$  if  $q > 8$ .
- (f)  $((q + \sqrt{2q} + 1) \times (q + \sqrt{2q} + 1)) : \llbracket 96 \rrbracket$ .
- (g)  $(q^2 - \sqrt{2q}q + q - \sqrt{2q} + 1) : 12$ .
- (h)  $(q^2 + \sqrt{2q}q + q + \sqrt{2q} + 1) : 12$ .
- (i)  $PGU_3(q) : 2$ .
- (j)  ${}^2B_2(q) \wr 2$ .
- (k)  $B_2(q) : 2$ .
- (l)  ${}^2F_4(q_0)$ , if  $q_0 = 2^{2m+1}$  with  $(2n+1)/(2m+1)$  prime.

We also need some information about automorphisms of groups of Lie type. Let  $G$  be a simple group of Lie type. Definitions of diagonal, field and graph automorphisms of  $G$  agrees with that of [20]. The group of inner-diagonal automorphisms of  $G$  is denoted by  $\hat{G}$ , while  $\text{Aut}(G)$  is the automorphism group of  $G$ . By [20, 3.2], there exists a field automorphism  $\rho$  of  $G$  such that every automorphism  $\sigma$  of  $G$  can be written  $\sigma = \beta\rho^l\gamma$ , with  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  being an inner-diagonal and a graph automorphisms, respectively, and  $l \geq 0$ . The group  $\langle \rho \rangle$  is denoted by  $\Phi_G$ . In view of [2, 7-2], the group  $\Phi_G$  is determined up to  $\hat{G}$ -conjugacy. Since  $G$  is centerless, we can identify  $G$  with the group of its inner automorphisms.

**Lemma 13.** [20, 3.3, 3.4, 3.6] *Assume that  $G$  is a simple group of Lie type over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of characteristic  $p$ . Set  $A = \text{Aut}(G)$  and  $\hat{A} = \hat{G}\Phi_G$ . Then the following hold.*

- (a)  $G \leq \hat{G} \leq \hat{A} \leq A$  is a normal series for  $A$ .
- (b)  $\hat{G}/G$  is abelian;  $\hat{G} = G$  for the groups  $E_8(q), F_4(q), G_2(q), {}^3D_4(q)$ , in other cases the order of  $\hat{G}/G$  is specified in Table 2.

Table 2

| $G$                      | $ \widehat{G}/G $ |
|--------------------------|-------------------|
| $A_l(q)$                 | $\gcd(l+1, q-1)$  |
| ${}^2A_l(q)$             | $\gcd(l+1, q+1)$  |
| $B_l(q), C_l(q), E_7(q)$ | $\gcd(2, q-1)$    |
| $D_l(q)$                 | $\gcd(4, q^l-1)$  |
| ${}^2D_l(q)$             | $\gcd(4, q^l+1)$  |
| $E_6(q)$                 | $\gcd(3, q-1)$    |
| ${}^2E_6(q)$             | $\gcd(3, q+1)$    |

- (c)  $A = \widehat{A}$  with the exceptions:  $A/\widehat{A}$  has order 2 if  $G$  is  $A_l(q)$  ( $l \geq 2$ ),  $D_l(q)$  ( $l \geq 5$ ) or  $E_6(q)$ , or if  $G$  is  $B_2(q)$  or  $F_4(q)$  and  $q = 2^{2n+1}$ , or if  $G$  is  $G_2(q)$  and  $q = 3^{2n+1}$ ;  $A/\widehat{A}$  is isomorphic to  $S_3$  if  $G$  is  $D_4(q)$ .

### 3 Proof of the main theorem

In view of Lemma 6, we may assume that  $2 \notin \pi$ . By Lemma 4, to prove the identity  $\mathcal{D}_\pi = \mathcal{U}_\pi$ , it is sufficient to prove that in each simple nonabelian  $\mathcal{D}_\pi$ -group  $G$  all maximal subgroups, containing a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of  $G$ , satisfy  $\mathcal{D}_\pi$ . This is true if  $G$  is an alternating group, a sporadic simple group or a simple group of Lie type in characteristic  $p \in \pi$  because these groups satisfy  $\mathcal{U}_\pi$  by Lemma 5. Thus we may assume that  $G$  is a simple  $\mathcal{D}_\pi$ -group of Lie type with the base field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of characteristic  $p$  and  $p \notin \pi$ .

Throughout this section,  $H$  is a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of  $G$ ,  $M$  is a maximal subgroup of  $G$  with  $H \leq M$ . Our goal is to show that  $M$  satisfies  $\mathcal{D}_\pi$ . So by Lemma 2(f) it is sufficient to prove that every nonabelian composition factor of  $M$  satisfies  $\mathcal{D}_\pi$ . Since  $M$  contains  $H$ ,  $M$  satisfies  $\mathcal{E}_\pi$ . Lemma 2(a) implies that every composition factor of  $M$  satisfies  $\mathcal{E}_\pi$ .

Let  $r$  be the smallest prime in  $\pi \cap \pi(G)$  and  $\tau = (\pi \cap \pi(G)) \setminus \{r\}$ .

**Step 1.** If  $S$  is a composition factor of  $M$  and  $S \in \mathcal{E}_\pi \setminus \mathcal{D}_\pi$ , then  $r \in \pi(S)$ ,  $|\pi \cap \pi(S)| \geq 2$  and  $S \simeq \text{PSL}_{n_1}^\eta(q_1)$ .

*Proof.* Clearly, if  $|\pi \cap \pi(S)| \leq 1$ , then  $S \in \mathcal{D}_\pi$ . Therefore we have that  $|\pi \cap \pi(S)| \geq 2$ , in particular,  $|\pi \cap \pi(G)| \geq 2$ . Since  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{D}_\pi$ , it follows from Lemma 7 that  $G$  satisfies  $(*)$ , i.e., every  $\pi$ -subgroup of  $G$  has a normal

abelian  $\tau$ -Hall subgroup. Lemma 2(b) implies that every  $\pi$ -subgroup of  $S$  is a homomorphic image of a  $\pi$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Hence a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of  $S$  has a normal abelian  $\tau$ -Hall subgroup. If  $r \notin \pi(S)$  then a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of  $S$  is abelian. So by Lemma 2(e) we have  $S \in \mathcal{D}_\pi$  and this is a contradiction with  $S \in \mathcal{E}_\pi \setminus \mathcal{D}_\pi$ . Therefore we conclude  $r \in \pi(S)$ , as required. Thus every  $\pi$ -subgroup of  $S$  possesses a normal abelian  $\tau$ -Hall subgroup, i.e.  $S$  satisfies (\*).

Since  $S \in \mathcal{E}_\pi \setminus \mathcal{D}_\pi$ , the possibilities for  $S$  are determined in Lemma 9. Suppose that  $S$  satisfies item (1) of Lemma 9. Then  $S \simeq O'N$  and  $\pi \cap \pi(S) = \{3, 5\}$ . But a  $\{3, 5\}$ -Hall subgroup of  $O'N$  does not possess a normal Sylow 5-subgroup, hence  $O'N$  does not satisfy (\*) and this case is impossible.

Suppose that  $S$  is a group of Lie type with the base field  $\mathbb{F}_{q_1}$  of characteristic  $p_1$ . Assume first that  $S$  satisfies item 2(A) of Lemma 9, and so  $p_1 \in \pi$ . If  $p_1 \neq r$  then  $r \in (\pi \cap \pi(S)) \setminus \{p_1\}$  and  $r$  does not divide  $|W(S)|$ . Since  $\pi(|W(S)|) = \pi(l!)$  for some natural  $l$ , we obtain that  $l < r < p_1$  and it contradicts the fact that  $p_1$  divides  $|W(S)|$ . Suppose now that  $p_1 = r$ . Denote by  $U$  a Sylow  $p_1$ -subgroup of  $S$ . In view of [4, Theorem 3.2], a Borel subgroup  $B = N_S(U)$  contains a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup  $H_1$  of  $S$ . If  $Q$  is a normal abelian  $\tau$ -Hall subgroup of  $H_1$  then  $H_1 = U \times Q$ . Hence  $H_1$  is nilpotent and by Lemma 2(e) we have  $S \in \mathcal{D}_\pi$ , a contradiction with  $S \in \mathcal{E}_\pi \setminus \mathcal{D}_\pi$ . Assume now that  $S$  satisfies item 2(B) of Lemma 9, and so  $p_1 \notin \pi$ . If  $S$  satisfies one of items 2(B)d-2(B)i, then we have that  $\pi \cap \pi(S) \subseteq \pi(q_1 \pm 1)$  and therefore  $e(q_1, t) = e(q_1, s)$  for every  $t, s \in \pi \cap \pi(S)$ . Since  $S$  satisfies (\*),  $S$  satisfies  $\mathcal{D}_\pi$  by Lemma 8, and this contradicts our assumption that  $S \in \mathcal{E}_\pi \setminus \mathcal{D}_\pi$ . Thus  $S$  satisfies one of items 2(B)a-2(B)c of Lemma 9, and so  $S \simeq \text{PSL}_{n_1}^\eta(q_1)$ .  $\square$

**Step 2.** If  $M$  is an almost simple group, then  $M \in \mathcal{D}_\pi$ .

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be nonabelian simple group with  $S \leq M \leq \text{Aut}(S)$ . As mentioned previously,  $M \in \mathcal{D}_\pi$  if and only if  $S \in \mathcal{D}_\pi$ . We derive a contradiction by assuming that  $M \notin \mathcal{D}_\pi$  and thus  $S \notin \mathcal{D}_\pi$ . By Step 1, we have  $S \simeq \text{PSL}_{n_1}^\eta(q_1)$  and  $S$  satisfies one of items 2(B)a-2(B)c of Lemma 9. Consider the structure of a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of  $S$ . Since  $S \simeq \text{PSL}_{n_1}^\eta(q_1) \in \mathcal{E}_\pi \setminus \mathcal{D}_\pi$ , by Lemmas 2(d) and 2(f) we have that  $\text{SL}_{n_1}^\eta(q_1)$  and  $\text{GL}_{n_1}^\eta(q_1)$  lie in  $\mathcal{E}_\pi \setminus \mathcal{D}_\pi$ . By Lemma 9, we have that  $\gcd(n_1, q_1 - \eta)_\pi = 1$ , therefore  $|Z(\text{SL}_{n_1}^\eta(q_1))|_\pi = 1$ , and so a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of  $S$  is isomorphic to a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of  $\text{SL}_{n_1}^\eta(q_1)$ .

Now we specify the structure of a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of  $\text{SL}_{n_1}^\eta(q_1)$ . We start with  $\text{GL}_{n_1}^\eta(q_1)$ . Observe that  $\pi(\text{GL}_{n_1}^\eta(q_1)) = \pi(S)$ . Recall (by Step 1) that  $r \in \pi(S)$ . By Lemma 9, for every  $t \in \tau \cap \pi(S)$  if  $\eta = +$  then  $e(q_1, t) = 1$ ,

and if  $\eta = -$  then  $e(q_1, t) = 2$ . Hence a  $\tau$ -Hall subgroup of  $\text{GL}_{n_1}^\eta(q_1)$  lies in a subgroup of diagonal matrices  $D$ , and  $D$  is a direct product of  $n_1$  copies of a cyclic group of order  $q_1 - \eta$ . Since  $\tau \cap \pi(S) \neq \emptyset$ , it follows that  $|q_1 - \eta| \geq 5$ , and so  $N_{\text{GL}_{n_1}^\eta(q_1)}(D) = D : S_{n_1}$ , where  $S_{n_1}$  is a group of monomial matrices and  $S_{n_1}$  acts on  $D$  by permuting diagonal elements. Consider a Sylow  $r$ -subgroup  $R$  of  $S_{n_1}$ . Lemma 9 implies that  $r$  does not divide  $q_1 - \eta$ , hence  $R \leq \text{SL}_{n_1}^\eta(q_1)$ . Denote the number  $\left\lfloor \frac{n_1}{r} \right\rfloor$  by  $k$ . Since  $\left\lfloor \frac{n_1}{r} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{n_1}{r-1} \right\rfloor$ , for some natural  $d$  we have  $n_1 = kr + d = k(r-1) + (d+k)$  and  $d+k < r-1$ . Hence  $k < r-1$  and  $R \simeq r^k$ . In view of Lemma 1, we obtain that  $|\text{SL}_{n_1}^\eta(q_1)|_r = r^k$ . Thus a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of  $\text{SL}_{n_1}^\eta(q_1)$ , up to conjugation, lies in  $(D \cap \text{SL}_{n_1}^\eta(q_1)) : R$ . If  $D_1$  and  $R_1$  are the images of  $D \cap \text{SL}_{n_1}^\eta(q_1)$  and  $R$  under the canonical homomorphism  $\text{SL}_{n_1}^\eta(q_1) \rightarrow S$ , respectively, then we may assume that a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of  $S$  lies in

$$D_1 : R_1 \simeq (q_1 - \eta)^{n_1-1} : r^k.$$

Recall that  $M$  contains a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ . So  $H$  is a  $\pi$ -subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(S)$ , and since  $\text{Aut}(S)/\hat{S}\Phi$  is a 2-group by Lemma 13, where  $\Phi$  denotes the group  $\Phi_S$ , we have that  $H$  lies in  $\hat{S}\Phi$ . Since  $H$  is a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of  $M$ , by Lemma 2(a) we have that  $H \cap S$  is a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of  $S$ . Write  $H_1 = H \cap S$ , and observe that by Lemma 2(d)  $S \in \mathcal{C}_\pi$ , hence by Lemma 2(c) there exists a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup  $H_0$  of  $S\Phi$  with  $H_0 \cap S = H_1$ . Now we want to show that it is possible to assume  $H \leq H_0$ . By Lemma 13, we have  $|\hat{S}\Phi : S\Phi| = \gcd(n_1, q_1 - \eta)$  and it follows from  $\gcd(n_1, q_1 - \eta)_\pi = 1$ , that  $H_0$  is a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of  $\hat{S}\Phi$ . Consider the normalizer  $N_{\hat{S}\Phi}(H_1)$ . It is obvious that  $N_{\hat{S}\Phi}(H_1)$  contains both  $H_0$  and  $H$ , and so  $H_0$  is a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of  $N_{\hat{S}\Phi}(H_1)$ . The normalizer  $N_{\hat{S}\Phi}(H_1)$  possesses a normal series

$$H_1 \trianglelefteq N_S(H_1) \trianglelefteq N_{\hat{S}\Phi}(H_1),$$

where  $N_S(H_1)/H_1$  is a  $\pi'$ -group and  $N_{\hat{S}\Phi}(H_1)/N_S(H_1)$  is solvable. Now Lemma 2(f) implies that  $N_{\hat{S}\Phi}(H_1)$  satisfies  $\mathcal{D}_\pi$ . Since  $H_0$  is a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of  $N_{\hat{S}\Phi}(H_1)$ , we may assume  $H \leq H_0$ , up to conjugation. In particular,  $H = H_1 : \langle \varphi \rangle$ , where  $\langle \varphi \rangle$  is a  $\pi$ -subgroup of  $\Phi$ . Hence, by previous paragraph,  $H$  is included in a subgroup  $(D_1 : R_1) : \langle \varphi \rangle$  of  $S : \langle \varphi \rangle$ , and  $(D_1 : R_1) : \langle \varphi \rangle$  is isomorphic to  $((q_1 - \eta)^{n_1-1} : r^k) : \langle \varphi \rangle$ . Moreover,  $\varphi$  centralizes  $R_1$  and acts by  $x \mapsto x^{p_1^\alpha}$  on every group  $(q_1 - \eta)$ . It follows from the action of  $R_1$  on  $D_1$ , that  $|C_{D_1}(R_1)|_\pi \leq (q_1 - \eta)_\pi^{k+d-1}$ . Thus  $|C_H(R_1)| \leq r^k (q_1 - \eta)_\pi^{k+d-1} |\varphi|$ .

On the other hand, in  $\text{GL}_{n_1}^\eta(q_1)$  there exists a subgroup  $L$  of the following

type:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \overbrace{\begin{matrix} \text{GL}_{r-1}^\eta(q_1) & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \text{GL}_{r-1}^\eta(q_1) \end{matrix}}^{k \text{ times}} & & & \\ & & 0 & \\ & & & \overbrace{\begin{matrix} q_1 - \eta & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & q_1 - \eta \end{matrix}}^{k+d \text{ times}} \\ & 0 & & \end{pmatrix}$$

Now  $\text{GL}_{r-1}^\eta(q_1)$  possesses a cyclic subgroup of order  $q_1^{r-1} - 1$ . Since  $r(q_1 - \eta)$  divides  $q_1^{r-1} - 1$ , we obtain that  $\text{GL}_{r-1}^\eta(q_1)$  has a cyclic subgroup of order  $r(q_1 - \eta)$ . Thus  $L$  (and hence  $\text{GL}_{n_1}^\eta(q_1)$ ) possesses a subgroup  $r^k \times (q_1 - \eta)^{2k+d}$ . So in  $L \cap \text{SL}_{n_1}^\eta(q_1)$  there is an abelian subgroup of order  $r^k(q_1 - \eta)^{2k+d-1}$  and  $L \cap \text{SL}_{n_1}^\eta(q_1)$  is  $\varphi$ -invariant. It follows that  $S : \langle \varphi \rangle$  possesses a  $\pi$ -subgroup  $F = (r^k \times (q_1 - \eta)^{2k+d-1}) : \langle \varphi \rangle$ . Moreover,  $|F|_r = |R_1| = |\text{SL}_{n_1}^\eta(q_1)|_r$ , hence up to conjugation we may assume that  $R_1 \leq F$ . Clearly  $R_1$  lies in  $Z(F)$  and  $|F| > |C_H(R_1)|$ . Thus there is a  $\pi$ -subgroup of  $M$  (and of  $G$ ) such that it is not isomorphic to any subgroup of a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ , and this is the desired contradiction since  $G \in \mathcal{D}_\pi$ .  $\square$

Now we can assume that  $M$  is not almost simple, and it seems quite natural to proceed our proof in two cases:  $G$  is a classical group and  $G$  is an exceptional group.

**Step 3.** If  $G$  is a classical group and  $M$  is not almost simple, then  $M \in \mathcal{D}_\pi$ .

*Proof.* Since  $G \in \mathcal{D}_\pi$ ,  $|\pi \cap \pi(G)| \geq 2$  and  $2, p \notin \pi$ , we obtain that  $G$  satisfies either Condition II or Condition III of Lemma 3. If  $G$  satisfies either item (g) or item (h) of Condition II, then  $H$  is cyclic and  $M$  satisfies  $\mathcal{D}_\pi$  by Lemma 2(e). Suppose that  $G$  satisfies either Condition III or one of items (a)-(f) of Condition II. Then  $e(q, t) = e(q, s)$  for every  $t, s \in \tau$ . Set

$$a = e(q, r) \text{ and } b = e(q, t) \text{ for every } t \in \tau.$$

Suppose that  $M \notin \mathcal{D}_\pi$ . Then by Lemma 2(f)  $M$  has a composition factor  $S$  which does not satisfy  $\mathcal{D}_\pi$ , and by Step 1,  $S \simeq \text{PSL}_{n_1}^\eta(q_1)$ ,  $r \in \pi(S)$  and one

of items 2(B)a-2(B)c of Lemma 9 holds for  $S$ . Set

$$a_1 = e(q_1, r) \text{ and } b_1 = e(q_1, t) \text{ for every } t \in \tau \cap \pi(S).$$

Assume first that  $q_1 = q$ . Since  $S \in \mathcal{E}_\pi \setminus \mathcal{D}_\pi$ , we have that  $a \neq b$ . Hence  $G$  satisfies Condition II. Then  $b \geq r > 2$  and it contradicts the fact that  $b \leq 2$  which follows from items 2(B)a-2(B)c of Lemma 9.

Assume now that  $q_1 \neq q$ . Since  $M$  is not almost simple, by famous Aschbacher's theorem [1], we obtain that  $M$  belongs to one of Aschbacher's classes. According to Tables 3.5A–3.5F from [9], it is sufficient to consider the following cases:

$$(a) \ G = \text{PSL}_n(q), \ M \simeq \llbracket c \rrbracket \cdot \text{PSL}_m(q^u) \cdot \llbracket du \rrbracket, \text{ where } n = mu, \ u \text{ is prime,} \\ c = \frac{\gcd(q-1, m)(q^u-1)}{(q-1)\gcd(q-1, n)}, \ d = \frac{\gcd(q^u-1, m)}{\gcd(q-1, m)};$$

$$(b) \ G = \text{PSL}_n(q), \ M \simeq \left\llbracket \frac{c}{\gcd(q-1, n)} \right\rrbracket \cdot \text{PGL}_n(q_0), \text{ where } q = q_0^u, \ u \text{ is prime,} \\ c = \frac{q-1}{\text{lcm}(q_0-1, \frac{q-1}{\gcd(q-1, n)})};$$

$$(c) \ G = \text{PSL}_n(q), \ M \simeq \text{PSU}_n(q_0) \cdot \left\llbracket \frac{\gcd(q_0+1, n)c}{\gcd(q-1, n)} \right\rrbracket, \text{ where } q = q_0^2, \\ c = \frac{q-1}{\text{lcm}(q_0+1, \frac{q-1}{\gcd(q-1, n)})};$$

$$(d) \ G = \text{PSU}_n(q), \ M \simeq \llbracket q^{m(2n-3m)} : c/\gcd(q+1, n) \rrbracket \cdot (\text{PSL}_m(q^2) \times \\ \text{PSU}_{n-2m}(q)) \cdot \llbracket d \rrbracket, \text{ where } 1 \leq m \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor,$$

$$c = |\{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) | \lambda_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}, \lambda_2^{q+1} = 1, \lambda_1^{m(q-1)} \lambda_2^{n-2m} = 1\}|,$$

$$d = (q^2 - 1) \gcd(q^2 - 1, m) \gcd(q + 1, n - 2m) / c;$$

$$(e) \ G = \text{PSU}_n(q), \ M \simeq \left\llbracket \frac{(q-1)\gcd(q+1, \frac{n}{2})}{\gcd(q+1, n)} \right\rrbracket \cdot \text{PSL}_{n/2}(q^2) \cdot \left\llbracket \frac{\gcd(q^2-1, \frac{n}{2})}{\gcd(q+1, \frac{n}{2})} \right\rrbracket \cdot 2;$$

$$(f) \ G = \text{PSU}_n(q), \ M \simeq \llbracket c \rrbracket \cdot \text{PSU}_m(q^u) \cdot \llbracket du \rrbracket, \text{ where } n = mu, \ u \text{ is prime,} \\ u \geq 3, \ c = \frac{\gcd(q+1, m)(q^u+1)}{(q+1)\gcd(q+1, n)}, \ d = \frac{\gcd(q^u+1, m)}{\gcd(q+1, m)};$$

$$(g) \ G = \text{PSU}_n(q), \ M \simeq \left\llbracket \frac{c}{\gcd(q+1, n)} \right\rrbracket \cdot \text{PGU}_n(q_0), \text{ where } q = q_0^u, \ u \text{ is prime,} \\ u \geq 3, \ c = \frac{q+1}{\text{lcm}(q_0+1, \frac{q+1}{\gcd(q+1, n)})}.$$

In cases (a), (b), (d) and (e)  $S$  is isomorphic to  $\text{PSL}_{n_1}(q_1)$  with  $q_1 \neq q$ . By Lemma 9 the following conditions hold:

- (1)  $a_1 = r - 1$ ;
- (2)  $(q_1^{r-1} - 1)_r = r$ ;
- (3)  $\left[\frac{n_1}{r-1}\right] = \left[\frac{n_1}{r}\right]$ ;
- (4)  $b_1 = 1$  and  $n_1 < t$  for every  $t \in \tau \cap \pi(S)$ .

It follows from (1) and (3) that  $n_1 \geq r$ . Observe that if  $k = \left[\frac{n_1}{r}\right] = \left[\frac{n_1}{r-1}\right]$  then  $k < r - 1$ . Hence we have  $r \leq n_1 < (r - 1)^2$ . By (1) and (4) we see that  $(q_1 - 1)_r = 1$  and  $(q_1 - 1)_t \neq 1$  for every  $t \in \tau \cap \pi(S)$ .

*Case (a):*  $S \simeq \text{PSL}_m(q^u)$ , where  $mu = n$  and  $u$  is prime. Note that  $a_1 = e(q^u, r)$ , and so  $a = e(q, r) \geq a_1 > 1$ . By Lemma 1, we have that  $b = e(q, t)$  divides  $u$  and  $(q_1 - 1)_t = (q^u - 1)_t = (q^b - 1)_t \left(\frac{u}{b}\right)_t$ . Hence  $b$  is equal to 1 or  $u$ . Assume that  $G$  satisfies Condition III, and so  $a = b$ . Since  $a > 1$ , we conclude that  $a = u$ . Then  $a_1 = 1$ , and it contradicts the fact that  $a_1 > 1$ . Thus we obtain that  $G$  satisfies either item (a) or item (b) of Condition II, and so  $a = r - 1$ ,  $b = r = u$ . Since  $r = u$  divides  $n$ , we have that  $G$  cannot satisfy item (b) of Condition II, where  $n \equiv -1 \pmod{r}$ . Hence  $G$  satisfies item (a) of Condition II. Thus we have that  $(q^{r-1} - 1)_r = r$ ,  $\left[\frac{n}{r-1}\right] = \left[\frac{n}{r}\right] = m$ , and, in particular,  $m < r - 1$  and  $|S|_r = 1$ . Using Lemma 1, we can calculate  $|G|_r$  and  $|M|_r$ :

$$|G|_r = \frac{(q^{r-1} - 1)_r^m (m!)_r}{(q - 1)_r \gcd(q - 1, n)_r} = r^m, |M|_r = r.$$

As  $m \geq 2$  we obtain  $|G|_r \geq r^2$ . Thus  $|G|_r > |M|_r$ , which is a contradiction with the fact that  $M$  contains a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of  $G$ .

*Case (b):*  $S \simeq \text{PSL}_n(q_0)$ , where  $q = q_0^u$  and  $u$  is prime. Note that  $b_1 = e(q_0, t) = 1$ , and so  $b = e(q_0^u, t) = 1$ . Hence we have that  $G$  satisfies Condition III and  $a = b = 1$ . Lemma 1 implies that  $a_1 = e(q_0, r)$  divides  $u$  and  $(q - 1)_r = (q_0^u - 1)_r = (q_0^{a_1} - 1)_r \left(\frac{u}{a_1}\right)_r$ . Since  $a_1$  is even ( $a_1 = r - 1$ ) and  $u$  is prime, we conclude that  $a_1 = u = 2$  and  $r = 3$ . Using Lemma 1, we can calculate  $|G|_3$  and  $|M|_3$ .

$$|G|_3 = \frac{(q - 1)_3^{n-1} (n!)_3}{\gcd(q - 1, n)_3} = \frac{(q_0^2 - 1)_3^{n-1} (n!)_3}{\gcd(q_0^2 - 1, n)_3};$$

$$|M|_3 = \frac{(q - 1)_3 (q_0^2 - 1)_3^k (k!)_3}{\text{lcm}\left(q_0 - 1, \frac{q-1}{\gcd(q-1, n)}\right)_3 \gcd(q - 1, n)_3 (q_0 - 1)_3}, \text{ where } k = \left[\frac{n}{2}\right].$$

It follows from  $r \leq n < (r-1)^2$  that  $n = 3$ . Since  $(q_0 - 1)_3 = 1$  and  $(q_0^2 - 1)_3 = 3$ , we obtain that  $|G|_3 = 3^2$  and  $|M|_3 = 3$ . Thus  $|G|_3 > |M|_3$ , which is a contradiction with the fact that  $M$  contains a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of  $G$ .

*Cases (d) and (e):*  $S \simeq \text{PSL}_{n_1}(q^2)$ . Note that  $b_1 = e(q^2, t) = 1$ , and so  $b = e(q, t)$  is equal to 1 or 2. Thus  $G$  satisfies Condition III and  $a = b$ . Then  $a = e(q, r)$  equals 1 or 2, and so  $a_1 = e(q^2, r) = 1$ , which is a contradiction with the fact that  $a_1 = r - 1 \geq 2$ .

In cases (c), (f) and (g)  $S$  is isomorphic to  $\text{PSU}_{n_1}(q_1)$ . By Lemma 9 the following conditions hold:

- (1') either  $r \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and  $a_1 = r - 1$ , or  $r \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and  $a_1 = \frac{r-1}{2}$ ;
- (2')  $(q_1^{r-1} - 1)_r = r$ ;
- (3')  $\left\lfloor \frac{n_1}{r-1} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{n_1}{r} \right\rfloor$ ;
- (4')  $b_1 = 2$  and  $n_1 < t$  for every  $t \in \tau \cap \pi(S)$ .

In view of (1'), we see that either  $a_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , or  $a_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ . So  $a_1$  cannot equal  $2k$  with  $k$  odd, in particular  $a_1 \neq 2$ . It is obvious that in this case the inequalities  $r \leq n_1 < (r-1)^2$  are also valid for  $n_1$ . By (4') we have that  $(q_1 - 1)_t = 1$  and  $(q_1^2 - 1)_t \neq 1$  for every  $t \in \tau \cap \pi(S)$ .

*Case (c):*  $S \simeq \text{PSU}_n(q_0)$  and  $q = q_0^2$ . Note that  $b_1 = e(q_0, t) = 2$ , and so  $b = e(q_0^2, t) = 1$ . Hence  $G$  satisfies Condition III, and so  $a = b = 1$ . It follows from  $a = e(q_0^2, r) = 1$ , that  $a_1 = e(q_0, r)$  equals 1 or 2. As we mentioned before,  $a_1$  cannot equal 2, and thus  $a_1 = 1$  and  $r = 3$ . Using Lemma 1, we can calculate  $|G|_3$  and  $|M|_3$ . As in case (b) we have  $n = 3$  and  $|G| = 3^2$ . Since  $a_1 = 1$  we obtain that  $a_1^* = 2$ .

$$|M|_3 = \frac{(q_0^2 - 1)_3(q - 1)_3}{(q_0 + 1)_3 \gcd(q - 1, 3)_3 \text{lcm}\left(q_0 + 1, \frac{q-1}{\gcd(q-1, 3)}\right)_3}$$

It follows from (1') and (2') that  $(q_0 - 1)_3 = (q_0^2 - 1)_3 = 3$ , and so  $(q_0 + 1)_3 = 1$ . Hence we have that  $|M|_3 = 3$ . Thus  $|G|_3 > |M|_3$ , and it is a contradiction with the fact that  $M$  contains a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of  $G$ .

*Case (f):*  $S \simeq \text{PSU}_m(q^u)$ , where  $mu = n$ ,  $u$  is prime and  $u \geq 3$ . Note that  $b_1 = e(q^u, t)$ , and so  $b = e(q, t) \geq b_1 = 2$  and  $b \neq u$ . By Lemma 1,

we have that  $b$  divides  $2u$  and  $(q^{2u} - 1)_t = (q^b - 1)_t(\frac{2u}{b})_t$ . Thus we have that  $b$  equals 2 or  $2u$ . Assume that  $G$  satisfies Condition III, and so  $a = b$ . Since  $a_1 = e(q^u, r)$  cannot equal 2, we have that  $a = e(q, r) \neq 2u$ , and thus  $a = b = 2$ . As  $a \geq a_1$ , we have  $r = 3$  and  $a_1 = 1$ . Since  $(q^u - 1)_3 \neq 1$ , it follows from Lemma 1 that  $a$  divides  $u$  and  $(q^u - 1)_3 = (q^2 - 1)_3(\frac{u}{2})_3$ , and this is a contradiction with the fact that  $u$  is an odd prime. Thus we obtain that  $G$  satisfies one of items (c)-(f) of Condition II, and so  $b = 2r = 2u$ . Since  $r = u$  divides  $n$ , we have that  $G$  cannot satisfy items (e) and (f) of Condition II, where  $n \equiv -1 \pmod{r}$ . Hence  $G$  satisfies either item (c) or item (d) of Condition II. Thus we have that  $a^* = a_1^* = r - 1$ ,  $(q^{r-1} - 1)_r = r$ ,  $[\frac{n}{r-1}] = [\frac{n}{r}] = m$ , in particular  $m < r - 1$  and  $|S|_r = 1$ . As in the case (a) we have  $|G|_r \geq r^2$  and  $|M|_r = r$ . Thus  $|G|_r > |M|_r$ , which is a contradiction with the fact that  $M$  contains a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of  $G$ .

*Case (g):*  $S \simeq \text{PSU}_n(q_0)$ , where  $q = q_0^u$ ,  $u$  is prime and  $u \geq 3$ . Note that  $b_1 = e(q_0, t)$  and  $b_1 \geq b = e(q_0^u, t)$ . By Lemma 1, we have that  $b_1 = 2$  divides  $ub$  and  $(q^b - 1)_t = (q_0^{ub} - 1)_t = (q_0^2 - 1)_t(\frac{ub}{2})_t$ . Since  $u$  is an odd prime, we conclude that  $b = 2$ . Thus  $G$  satisfies Condition III and  $a = b = 2$ . Since  $a = e(q_0^u, r) = 2$ , we have that  $a_1 = e(q_0, r) \neq u$  and  $a_1 \geq a$ . Lemma 1 implies that  $a_1$  divides  $2u$  and  $(q^2 - 1)_r = (q_0^{2u} - 1)_r = (q_0^{a_1} - 1)_r(\frac{2u}{a_1})_r$ . Then  $a_1$  equals 2 or  $2u$ , and it is impossible: as we noted earlier  $a_1$  cannot be equal to  $2k$  with  $k$  odd.

Thus we prove that in all cases (a)-(g) a composition factor  $S \simeq \text{PSL}_{n_1}^\eta(q_1)$  cannot satisfy statements 2(B)a-2(B)c of Lemma 9, and so  $S \in \mathcal{D}_\pi$ .  $\square$

**Step 4.** If  $G$  is an exceptional group, not isomorphic to  ${}^2B_2(q)$ ,  ${}^2F_4(q)$ ,  ${}^2G_2(q)$ , and  $M$  is not almost simple, then  $M \in \mathcal{D}_\pi$ .

*Proof.* Since  $G$  is not isomorphic to  ${}^2B_2(q)$ ,  ${}^2F_4(q)$ ,  ${}^2G_2(q)$ , by [22, Lemmas 7-13] we have that  $H$  is abelian or  $\pi \cap \pi(G) \subseteq \pi(q \pm 1)$ . If  $H$  is abelian then  $M$  satisfies  $\mathcal{D}_\pi$  by Lemma 2(e). Assume now that  $\pi \cap \pi(G) \subseteq \pi(q \pm 1)$ . Suppose that  $M \notin \mathcal{D}_\pi$ . In view of Lemma 2(f) and Step 1,  $M$  possesses a composition factor  $S \simeq \text{PSL}_{n_1}^\eta(q_1) \in \mathcal{E}_\pi \setminus \mathcal{D}_\pi$ . If  $q_1 = q^u$  for some natural  $u$ , then  $\pi \cap \pi(S) \subseteq \pi(q \pm 1) \subseteq \pi(q^u \pm 1)$ . It follows that  $e(q^u, r) = e(q^u, t)$  for every  $t \in \tau \cap \pi(S)$ . By proof of Step 1, we have that  $S$  satisfies (\*). Thus Lemma 8 implies that  $S \in \mathcal{D}_\pi$ , and it is a contradiction with our assumption that  $S \in \mathcal{E}_\pi \setminus \mathcal{D}_\pi$ . Assume now that  $q_1 \neq q^u$ . It follows from Lemma 11 that all maximal subgroups of  $G = {}^3D_4(q)$  do not possess a composition factor isomorphic  $\text{PSL}_{n_1}^\eta(q_1)$  with  $q_1 \neq q^u$ . If  $G \neq {}^3D_4(q)$ , then in Lemma 10 we

can find maximal subgroups of a finite exceptional group  $\overline{G}_\sigma$  with  $G = (\overline{G}_\sigma)'$ . It is clearly that  $M = N \cap G$ , where  $N$  is a maximal subgroup of  $\overline{G}_\sigma$  not containing  $G$ . Observe that  $M \trianglelefteq N$  and  $S$  is a composition factor of  $N$ . By Lemma 10, all possibilities for  $N$ , which possesses a composition factor  $S$  isomorphic to  $\text{PSL}_{n_1}^\eta(q_1)$  with  $q_1 \neq q^u$ , are the following.

- (a) the possibilities for  $N$  are determined in [10];
- (b)  $N$  is an exotic local subgroup;
- (c)  $F^*(N)$  is simple.

If  $N$  satisfies the first statement, then by [10] we have that  $S$  is isomorphic to  $\text{PSL}_2(5)$ ,  $\text{PSL}_3(2)$  or  $\text{PSU}_4(2)$ . Since there exists no odd prime  $t > 3$  such that  $q_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{t}$  or  $2^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{t}$ , we conclude that  $S$  does not satisfy items 2(B)a-2(B)c of Lemma 9. Then  $S \in \mathcal{D}_\pi$ , and this is a contradiction with our assumption that  $S \in \mathcal{E}_\pi \setminus \mathcal{D}_\pi$ .

If  $N$  satisfies the second statement, then  $S$  is isomorphic to one of the groups  $\text{PSL}_3(2)$ ,  $\text{PSL}_3(3)$ ,  $\text{PSL}_3(5)$  or  $\text{PSL}_5(2)$ . Thus in this case we also have that there is no odd prime  $t$  with  $q_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{t}$ . Hence  $S$  cannot satisfy 2(B)a of Lemma 9, and so  $S \in \mathcal{D}_\pi$ , which is a contradiction with  $S \in \mathcal{E}_\pi \setminus \mathcal{D}_\pi$ .

Finally, if  $F^*(N)$  is simple, then  $F^*(M)$  is simple, a contradiction with our assumption that  $M$  is not almost simple.

Thus we prove that in all cases (a)-(c) a composition factor  $S \simeq \text{PSL}_{n_1}^\eta(q_1)$  cannot satisfy statements 2(B)a-2(B)c of Lemma 9, and so  $S \in \mathcal{D}_\pi$ .  $\square$

**Step 5.** If  $G$  is one of the groups  ${}^2B_2(q)$ ,  ${}^2G_2(q)$  or  ${}^2F_4(q)$ , then  $M \in \mathcal{D}_\pi$ .

*Proof.* The structure of a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  was obtained in [22]. By [22, Lemma 14], if  $G$  is either  ${}^2B_2(q)$  or  ${}^2G_2(q)$ , or if  $G$  is  ${}^2F_4(q)$  and  $3 \notin \pi$ , then  $H$  is abelian. Then it follows by Lemma 2(e) that  $M \in \mathcal{D}_\pi$ .

Assume now that  $3 \in \pi$  and  $G$  is  ${}^2F_4(q)$ , where  $q = 2^{2m+1}$ . So we obtain that  $r = 3$ . Since  $G \in \mathcal{D}_\pi$  and  $|\pi \cap \pi(G)| \geq 2$ , we have that  $G \neq {}^2F_4(2)'$  by Lemma 3. All maximal subgroups of  ${}^2F_4(q)$  are specified in Lemma 12. Suppose that  $M \notin \mathcal{D}_\pi$ . In view of Step 1, we should consider maximal subgroups of  ${}^2F_4(q)$ , which have a composition factor  $S$  isomorphic to  $\text{PSL}_{n_1}^\eta(q_1)$ . It follows from Lemma 12 that  $S$  is isomorphic to  $\text{PSL}_2(q)$  or  $\text{PSU}_3(q)$ . If  $S \simeq \text{PSL}_2(q)$  then  $S$  cannot satisfy 2(B)a of Lemma 9 because for  $n_1 = 2$  and  $r = 3$  the inequality  $n_1 \geq r$  does not hold. Hence  $S \simeq \text{PSL}_2(q)$  satisfies  $\mathcal{D}_\pi$ .

If  $S \simeq \text{PSU}_3(q)$  then  $S$  also satisfies  $\mathcal{D}_\pi$  because  $2^{2m+1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  and  $S$  cannot satisfy 2(B)c of Lemma 9. So we prove that all composition factors of  $M$  satisfy  $\mathcal{D}_\pi$ .  $\square$

Thus we prove if  $G$  is a simple  $\mathcal{D}_\pi$ -group of Lie type over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of characteristic  $p$  and  $2, p \notin \pi$ , then every maximal subgroup  $M$  of  $G$  containing a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{D}_\pi$ . So we have  $\mathcal{D}_\pi = \mathcal{U}_\pi$  by Lemma 4.

## Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to E.P. Vdovin and D.O. Revin for stimulating and helpful discussions.

## References

- [1] M. Aschbacher, On the maximal subgroups of the finite classical groups, *Inventiones mathematicae* 76 (3) (1984) 469–514.
- [2] D. Gorenstein, R. Lyons, The local structure of finite groups of characteristic 2 type, Vol. 42, American Mathematical Society, 1983.
- [3] D. Gorenstein, R. Lyons, R. Solomon, The classification of the finite simple groups, Number 3, American Mathematical Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.
- [4] F. Gross, On a conjecture of Philip Hall, *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society* s3-52 (3) (1986) 464–494.
- [5] F. Gross, Conjugacy of odd order Hall subgroups, *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society* 19 (4) (1987) 311–319.
- [6] F. Gross, Odd order Hall subgroups of the classical linear groups, *Mathematische Zeitschrift* 220 (1) (1995) 317–336.
- [7] P. Hall, Theorems like Sylow’s, *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society* s3-6 (2) (1956) 286–304.
- [8] P.B. Kleidman, The maximal subgroups of the Steinberg triality groups  ${}^3D_4(q)$  and of their automorphism groups, *Journal of Algebra* 115 (1988) 182–199.

- [9] P.B. Kleidman, M.W. Liebeck, The subgroup structure of the finite classical groups, Vol. 129, Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [10] M.W. Liebeck, J. Saxl, G.M. Seitz, Subgroups of maximal rank in finite exceptional groups of Lie type, Proc. London Math. Soc 65 (3) (1992) 297–325.
- [11] M.W. Liebeck, G.M. Seitz, On the subgroup structure of exceptional groups of Lie type, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 350 (9) (1998) 3409–3482.
- [12] M.W. Liebeck, G.M. Seitz, On finite subgroups of exceptional algebraic groups, Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik 515 (1999) 25–72.
- [13] M.W. Liebeck, G.M. Seitz, A survey of maximal subgroups of exceptional groups of Lie type, in: Groups, combinatorics & geometry, River Edge, NJ: World Sci. Publ., (2003) 139–146.
- [14] G. Malle, The maximal subgroups of  ${}^2F_4(q^2)$ , Journal of Algebra 139 (1) (1991) 52–69.
- [15] N.Ch. Manzaeva, Heritability of the property  $\mathcal{D}_\pi$  by overgroups of  $\pi$ -Hall subgroups in the case where  $2 \in \pi$ , Algebra and Logic 53 (1) (2014) 17–28.
- [16] V.D. Mazurov, E.I. Khukhro (Eds.), The Kourovka notebook. Unsolved problems in group theory, 17th Edition, Russian Academy of Sciences Siberian Division Institute of Mathematics, Novosibirsk, 2010.
- [17] D.O. Revin, The  $\mathcal{D}_\pi$ -property in finite simple groups, Algebra and Logic 47 (3) (2008) 210–227.
- [18] D.O. Revin, Around a conjecture of P. Hall, Siberian Electronic Mathematical Reports 6 (2009) 366–380, (in Russian).
- [19] D.O. Revin, E.P. Vdovin, Hall subgroups of finite groups, Contemporary Mathematics 402 (2006) 229–265.
- [20] R. Steinberg, Automorphisms of finite linear groups, Canad. J. Math 12 (4) (1960) 606–616.

- [21] E.P. Vdovin, N.Ch. Manzaeva, D.O. Revin, On the heritability of the property  $\mathcal{D}_\pi$  by subgroups, Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics 279 (1) (2012) 130–138.
- [22] E.P. Vdovin, D.O. Revin, Hall subgroups of odd order in finite groups, Algebra and Logic 41 (1) (2002) 8–29.
- [23] E.P. Vdovin, D.O. Revin, Theorems of Sylow type, Russian Mathematical Surveys 66 (5) (2011) 829–870.
- [24] E.P. Vdovin, D.O. Revin, Pronormality of Hall subgroups in finite simple groups, Siberian mathematical journal 53 (3) (2012) 419–430.
- [25] E.P. Vdovin, D.O. Revin, On the pronormality of Hall subgroups, Siberian mathematical journal 54 (1) (2013) 22–28.
- [26] A.J. Weir, Sylow  $p$ -subgroups of the classical groups over finite fields with characteristic prime to  $p$ , Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 6 (4) (1955) 529–533.
- [27] H. Wielandt, Zum Satz von Sylow, Mathematische Zeitschrift 60 (1) (1954) 407–408.